UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Patent Public Advisory Committee Quarterly Meeting

Patent Examination Policy Update

Drew Hirshfeld

Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy

May 14, 2015



Functional Claiming Training Update

	Topic	Status
1.	35 USC §112(f): Identifying Limitations that Invoke §112(f)	Completed
2.	35 USC §112(f): Making the Record Clear	Completed
3.	35 USC §112(f): Broadest Reasonable Interpretation and Definiteness of §112(f) Limitations	Completed
4.	35 USC §112(f): Evaluating §112(f) Limitations in Software-Related Claims for Definiteness under 35 USC §112(b)	Completed
5.	Claim Interpretation: Broadest Reasonable Interpretation (BRI), plain and customary meaning of terms, and treating claim as a whole	Completed
6.	Claim Interpretation: Examining Functional Claim Language	Spring 2015
7.	§112(a): Written Description for all technical fields	Summer 2015
8.	§112(a): Enablement for all technical fields	Fall 2015

101 Training Update

- Multi-phased Examiner Training
 - Phase I Corps-wide Training on Dec. 2014 Interim Guidance
 - Completed January/February 2015
 - Phase II TC-specific Training based on examples
 - Mostly complete



Public Comments on 2014 Interim Eligibility Guidance

- A public comment period closed on March 16, 2015
- 61 public comments were received
- Common themes:
 - Positive step in clarifying examination procedures compared to the March 2014 guidance
 - Certain areas were identified for improvement/clarification
 - Published examples useful; strong desire for additional examples

Public Comments on 2014 Interim Eligibility Guidance

- Other notable themes include:
 - Clarification regarding identifying the exceptions
 - Parameters relating to the types of abstract ideas, for example for "certain methods of organizing human activity"
 - Role of preemption as it relates to the exceptions
 - Concern over the implementation of the guidance
 - Need for training and consistent application across art areas
 - Importance of making a prima facie case, with some proposing that examiners provide factual evidence
 - Various aspects of the markedly different characteristics

Next Steps

- Complete Phase II of Examiner Training
 - Publish Workshop Training Material
- Iterative refinements to the guidance based on judicial developments and feedback from the public and the examining corps
 - E.g., additional examples are being developed



Additional Resources

General page for examination guidance and training materials

http://www.uspto.gov/patents/law/exam/examguide.jsp

 Specific page for the December 2014 Interim Eligibility Guidance

http://www.uspto.gov/patent/laws-and-regulations/examination-policy/2014-interimguidance-subject-matter-eligibility-0

- Includes the Guidance document, additional claim examples and relevant case law
- All updates will be posted to this page

Questions and Comments

Drew Hirshfeld

Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy

(571) 272-8800

Andrew.Hirshfeld@USPTO.GOV



